16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	9	
10		
11		
12	/	No. C 08-00026 WHA
	v.	
13		ORDER DENYING
14	4 POSTMASTER GENERAL, P	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REVIEW OF DISMISSAL
15		OR REVIEW OF DISMISSAL

This pro se employment action was settled at a settlement conference held before Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James in January 2009. Plaintiff subsequently filed four requests for relief from her decision to settle. All were denied because plaintiff had entered a valid, binding settlement. Plaintiff now moves yet again for relief from the settlement, repeating barely comprehensible, nonsensical arguments from her previous requests which have already been rejected. She has not stated a valid ground for relief from the settlement into which she voluntarily entered. Nor has she brought her motion for relief within a reasonable time. The case has been closed for a year and a half. Her motion is therefore **DENIED**.

Plaintiff's continued repetitive and meritless motions in this matter are an unwarranted

## Case 3:08-cv-00026-WHA Document 85 Filed 06/25/10 Page 2 of 2

drain of the Court's limited resources. Plaintiff is ordered not to file further submissions in this matter and the Clerk is ordered to **DISREGARD** any future filings in this case.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 25, 2010.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE